

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/683,962		10/10/2003	Yee-Chung Fu	KUO-P102-1C	1857	
32566	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER		
PATENT I				LEE, SEUNG H		
2635 NORT SUITE 223	'H FIRST	STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSE, CA 95134				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	' <u>ہے۔</u> ا					
Office Action Summany	10/683,962	FU, YEE-CHUNG						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Seung H Lee	2876						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•							
, .								
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	the second of th							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction are subject to by the Example 20 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 21 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 22 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 22 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 23 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 24 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected to by the Example 25 Claim (s) are subjected 15 Claim (s)	drawn from consideration. nd/or election requirement.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the column The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rrection is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/2003.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/683,962

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

1. Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/648,551. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,962

Art Unit: 2876

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsai (US 6,686,639).

Tsai teaches a MEMS device comprises a scanning mirror (141), a plurality of rotational comb teeth (142), a torsion spring (143), and a beam structure located between the torsion spring and the mirror wherein the beam structure is connected with the scanning mirror, the comb teeth, and the torsion spring, the spring is also connected to a stationary bond pad (144 and 145) wherein the stationary pad serves as an anchor and a stationary surface, a stationary comb teeth or structures (131 and 132) in which the stationary comb structure and the rotational comb teeth are interdigitated, the springs connected to the beam structure along rotational axis of the scanning mirror (see Figs. 1-5; col. 4, line 1- col. 7, line 33).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure;

Application/Control Number: 10/683,962

Art Unit: 2876

Behin et al. [US 6,593,677] discloses a rotatable combdrive device and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax-phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [seung.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Seung H. Lee Art Unit 2876 April 13, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800